RESOLUTION NO.	
RESOLUTION NO.	

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO AWARD CONTRACT NO. W-12-025-201 TO SAK CONSTRUCTION, LLC, TANNERY FLATS INTERCEPTOR REHABILITATION PROJECT, IN THE AMOUNT OF FOUR MILLION ONE HUNDRED FORTY-THREE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED **NINETY-ONE DOLLARS** (\$4,143,391.00), WITH CONTINGENCY IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO HUNDRED SEVEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY DOLLARS (\$207,170.00), FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED FOUR MILLION THREE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED SIXTY-ONE DOLLARS (\$4,350,561.00), SUBJECT TO SRF LOAN APPROVAL.

\_\_\_\_\_

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHATTANOOGA,

TENNESSEE, that the Administrator for the Department of Public Works is hereby authorized to award Contract No. W-12-025-201 to SAK Construction, LLC, Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation Project, in the amount of \$4,143,391.00, with a contingency in the amount of \$207,170.00, for an amount not to exceed \$4,350,561.00, subject to SRF loan approval.

ADOPTED: _	, 2015
/mem	

# City of Chattanooga

## Resolution/Ordinance Request Form



A City Council resolution is requested to award Contract No. W-12-025-201, Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation Project, to SAK Construction, LLC, in the amount of \$4,143,391.00 with a contingency amount \$207,170.00 for an amount not-to-exceed \$4,350,861.00, subject to SRF Loan Approval.  Name of Vendor/Contractor/Grant, etc.  Total project cost \$4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or No.) Yes  Total City of Chattanooga Portion \$4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or No.) Yes  New City Funding Required \$012 Provide Cost Center K37129  New City Funding Required \$0 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted Citys Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s)  \$4,350,561.00 SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE	Date Prepared: May 15, 2015			2		
A City Council resolution is requested to award Contract No. W-12-025-201, Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation Project, to SAK Construction, LLC, in the amount of \$4,143,391.00 with a contingency amount \$207,170.00 for an amount not-to-exceed \$4,350,561.00, subject to SRF Loan Approval.  Name of Vendor/Contractor/Grant, etc.  Total project cost \$ 4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or No.) Yes  Total City of Chattanooga Portion \$ 4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or No.) Yes  Other City Amount Funded \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Fund 6012  City Amount Funded \$ 0 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted  City Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s)  \$4,350,561.00 SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE		_	Department:	Public Works		
A City Council resolution is requested to award Contract No. W-12-025-201, Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation Project, to SAK Construction, LLC, in the amount of \$4,143,391.00 with a contingency amount \$207,170.00 for an amount not-to-exceed \$4,350,561.00, subject to SRF Loan Approval.  Name of Vendor/Contractor/Grant, etc.  Total project cost \$4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or No.) Yes  Total City of Chattanooga Portion \$4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or No.) Yes  Other City Amount Funded \$4,350,561.00 Provide Fund 6012  City Amount Funded \$0.2 Provide Cost Center K37129  New City Funding Required \$0.2 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted City's Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s)  \$4,350,561.00 SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE	11/2				<u> </u>	
Total project cost \$ 4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or NO) Yes  Total City of Chattanooga Portion \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Fund 6012  City Amount Funded \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Cost Center K37129  New City Funding Required \$ 0 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted  City's Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s) SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	Brief Description of Purpose for Reso	lution/Ordinance:	Res./Ord. #	Council Dis	trict #	7
Rehabilitation Project, to SAK Construction, LLC, in the amount of \$4,143,391.00 with a contingency amount \$207,170.00 for an amount not-to-exceed \$4,350,561.00, subject to SRF Loan Approval.  Name of Vendor/Contractor/Grant, etc.  Total project cost \$ 4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or No) Yes  Total City of Chattanooga Portion \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Fund, 6012  City Amount Funded \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Cost Center K37129  New City Funding Required \$ 0 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted Citys Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s) SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE		,				
Total project cost \$ 4,350,561.00 Funds Budgeted? (YES or NO) Yes  Total City of Chattanooga Portion \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Fund 6012  City Amount Funded \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Cost Center K37129  New City Funding Required \$ 0 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted  City's Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s) SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	Rehabilitation Project, to SAK Constru	uction, LLC, in the ar	mount of \$4,14	3,391.00 with a conf		ount of
Total City of Chattanooga Portion \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Fund 6012  City Amount Funded \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Cost Center K37129  New City Funding Required \$ 0 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted  City's Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s) Grantor(s)  \$4,350,561.00 SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	Name of Vendor/Contractor/Grant, etc.	SAK Construction Co	o., LLC New Con	tract/Project? (Yes or No)	Yes	
City Amount Funded \$ 4,350,561.00 Provide Cost Center K37129  New City Funding Required \$ 0 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted  City's Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s) Grantor(s)  \$4,350,561.00 SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	Total project cost		_	•		
New City Funding Required \$ 0 Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted City's Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s) Grantor(s)  \$4,350,561.00 SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: IMAGE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	Total City of Chattanooga Portion	\$ 4,350,561.00		Provide Fund	6012	
City's Match Percentage % Grant Period (if applicable) SRF 2013-318  List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s) Grantor(s)  \$4,350,561.00 SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	City Amount Funded	\$ 4,350,561.00		Provide Cost Center	K37129	
List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.  Amount(s)  \$4,350,561.00  SRF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	New City Funding Required	\$C	Proposed Fundi	ng Source if not budgeted	<del></del>	
\$4,350,561.00  \$RF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by:   Approved by:   DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	City's Match Percentage	%	_	Grant Period (if applicable)	SRF 2013-318	
\$4,350,561.00  \$RF 2013-318  Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: PINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	List all other funding sources and amo	unt for each contribu	tor.			
Agency Grant Number  CFDA Number if known  Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: The Appr	<del>-</del>			Grantor(s)		
Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	\$4,350,561.00		SRF 2013-31	8		
Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR						
Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	<del></del>					
Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	Agency Grant Number					
Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)  Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	CFDA Number if known					
Funding is ISS Funding from previous FY. Consent Decreee projects.  Approved by: FINANCE OFFICE  DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR		ل سن سار سار				
Reviewed by: FINANCE OFFICE DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	Other comments: (Include contingency amo	ount, contractor, and ot	ther information	useful in preparing res	olution)	
Reviewed by: FINANCE OFFICE DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	Funding is ISS Funding from previo	us EV Consent Dec	roop projects			
Reviewed by: FINANCE OFFICE DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR	i aliang is loo i aliang from pievio	us i i, volisent dec				
Reviewed by: FINANCE OFFICE DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR  Please submit completed form to @budget. City Attorney and City Finance Officer		<u> </u>	_ Approved by	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>		
		ity Attorney and City Fine	ince Officer	DESIGNATED OFFI	CIAL/ADMINISTRA	ror

Revised: 1/26/09

#### **Malone Dennis**

From:

Palmer, Chris < Chris.Palmer@jacobs.com>

Sent:

Monday, May 18, 2015 4:16 PM

To:

Malone Dennis

Cc:

Patrick Mike; Marino, Mike A.

Subject:

FW: W-12-025-201 - Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation

Attachments:

Tannery Flats Value Engineering Summary.docx; Tannery Flats VE by SAK,xlsx

Importance:

High

FYI

Christopher C. Palmer, P.E. | Jacobs | Project Manager | Deputy Program Manager | 423.779.3075 o | 770.714.9837 c | Chris.Palmer@jacobs.com | www.jacobs.com

From: Bolender, Justin [mailto:Justin.Bolender@hdrinc.com]

Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 1:12 PM

To: Palmer, Chris

Subject: FW: W-12-025-201 - Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation

Importance: High

Chris, as discussed SAK has offered the savings detailed below and in the two attachments. At this point the total deduct would be \$534,054. The reduced total contract amount would then be \$4,143,391.

The following may further reduce the overall contract cost if we choose to work through these with SAK:

- Bid item 20 Surface MH Reconstruction could possibly be fully eliminated (\$90,000 savings)
- 2) Bid item 17 MH Rehab could be reduced further if we identify exactly what MHs will be abandoned.

I will contact SAK to discuss these items.

Please let me know if you like to me move forward with the existing numbers in a recommendation letter.

Thank you,

### Justin Bolender, PE

D 423.414.3559 M 859.806.0450

hdrinc.com/follow-us

From: Clayton Washburn [mailto:cwashburn@sakcon.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 12:40 PM

To: Smith, Rich

Cc: chris.palmer@jacobs.com; brent.cunningham@jacobs.com; Bolender, Justin

Subject: RE: W-12-025-201 - Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation

Importance: High

All,

After you review this, please let me know if you have any questions. Once we talk through, I can finalize on letterhead for the contracts. Thanks.

SAK has evaluated cost saving items to reduce the overall project costs for the Tannery Flats Project that was discussed on May 7, 2015 via conference call with representatives from the City of Chattanooga, Jacobs Engineering, HDR, and SAK Construction, LLC. The summary of costs have been calculated to include an additional 60 calendar days to be added to the project to allow more efficient parallel planning with the Chattanooga Creek Project for the City of Chattanooga which SAK is the apparent low bidder. The summary of proposed value engineered cost savings for the Chattanooga Creek Project by SAK Construction is as follows:

- 1. Bid item 5, Stabilized Construction Access Road, bid quantity was reduced from 7,460 linear feet to 300 linear feet as construction roads for the project are not deemed necessary at this time. This results in a savings of \$214,800.
- 2. SAK will coordinate the Chattanooga Creek Project in conjunction with this project. By adding the extra duration (60 calendar days) to this project and sharing resources between the two projects, there are costs savings that SAK is prepared to pass on to the City of Chattanooga. This results is a savings of \$79,995.
- 3. Partially deteriorated design thickness rather than fully deteriorated design thickness. The cost savings including direct material (CIPP tube, resin, and catalyst), overhead, bond, insurance, and profit is \$77,259.
- 4. Based on the reduction of manhole rehabilitation required (item 17) as approximately 7 manholes will be intermediate to the cured-in-place process (CIPP) and will ultimately be lined through, these manholes will be abandoned (as agreed by the City). SAK will line through these manholes and will not cut out the CIPP in the intermediate manhole. SAK has not included cost to fill these with sand or flowable fill. This results in a cost savings of \$48,000.
- 5. SAK has eliminated item's 19 and 26 as directed. This results in a savings of \$45,000.
- 6. Pay items 29, 30, and 31 have been reduced based on more accurate information now being available. This results in a savings of \$45,500.
- 7. Bid item 2, Silt Fence, bid quantity was reduced from 3,150 linear feet to 800 linear feet as construction roads for the project are not deemed necessary at this time resulting in a significant reduction for silt fence. This results in a savings of \$23,500.

The total value of the engineered cost savings for the Tannery Flats Project is \$534,054 based on the assumptions summarized above. The attached spreadsheet reflects the adjusted unit pricing.

Note: The total cost savings (from above) for item 2 (\$79,995) and item 3 (\$77,259) are reflected in bid items 14, 15a, 15b, and 16 reflecting in a cost deduction of \$157,254.

Clayton Washburn GM, Southeast Region



Tel 615.345.0245 x1551 | Cell 615.974.0003 | 47 Fern Ave | Nashville, TN 37207 | www.sakcon.com

This email and any files transmitted with it contain confidential information and are intended only for the individual(s) named as recipient(s). If you are not a named recipient, you should disseminate, distribute or copy this email. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email. Although the sender and SAK Construction. LLC have taken reasonable precautions to ensure that this email contains no viruses or corrupt attachments, the sender and SAK Construction, LLC cannot accept responsibility for any local damage arising from the use of this email or attachments. Unless otherwise confirmed by SAK Construction, LLC this email is not (i) a solicitation or offer to buy or sell any product or sen official confirmation of any transaction, or (iii) an official statement of the sender.

From: Clayton Washburn

Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2015 7:58 PM

To: 'Smith, Rich'

Cc: 'chris.palmer@jacobs.com'; 'brent.cunningham@jacobs.com'; 'Bolender, Justin'; 'Dennis Malone'; Loren Goens; Terry

Adderhold (tadderhold@sakcon.com); Jeff Oberhofer

Subject: RE: W-12-025-201 - Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation

Rich.

Attached is the manhole summary for Tannery with highlights the total costs based on the unit prices bid. We have highlighted (in green) the intermediate manholes (SAK will be lining through). As you can see there are a significant number of intermediate manholes (10) that could be abandoned if agreed by the City. We realize maintenance considerations may be evaluated in making this decision. Once the determination of manhole abandonments is made, SAK will need to calculate the costs to abandon (will be much less than the unit pricing for rehabilitation).

One other item to consider for our discussion in the morning is the silt fence quantity. Since the roadway construction quantity will be greatly reduced, so will the need for silt fence. This could be another \$20,000 to \$25,000 savings.

Let me know the call in number for the conference call in the morning.

Thanks,

Clayton

Clayton Washburn GM, Southeast Region



Tel 615.345.0245 x1551 | Cell 615.974.0003 | 47 Fern Ave | Nashville, TN 37207 | www.sakcon.com

This email and any files transmitted with it contain confidential information and are intended only for the individual(s) named as recipient(s). If you are not a named recipient, you should disseminate, distribute or copy this email. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email. Although the sender and SAK Construction. LLC have taken reasonable precautions to ensure that this email contains no viruses or corrupt attachments, the sender and SAK Construction, LLC cannot accept responsibility for any loc damage arising from the use of this email or attachments. Unless otherwise confirmed by SAK Construction, LLC this email is not (i) a solicitation or offer to buy or sell any product or sen official confirmation of any transaction, or (iii) an official statement of the sender.

From: Clayton Washburn

Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2015 8:45 AM

To: 'Smith, Rich'

Cc: chris.palmer@jacobs.com; brent.cunningham@jacobs.com; Bolender, Justin; Dennis Malone; Loren Goens; Terry

Adderhold (tadderhold@sakcon.com); Jeff Oberhofer

Subject: RE: W-12-025-201 - Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation

Rich,

Thanks for sending this. The following items are being addressed for Friday's call:

- We are calculating the material savings utilizing the PD design as you forwarded.
- 2. In preparation for our call, we will forward a list manholes that we plan to line through along the river. We did this on the Chattanooga Creek project with some success in lowering project costs. By lining through a manhole, we can abandon it, and essentially eliminating all inflow permanently (obviously this would need to be approved by the City taking in account future maintenance needs).
- We will analyze options for accessing MH S155B002 to reduce the impact and cost.
- 4. Another additional topic that you may want to consider is adjusting the bypass flow requirements as done on the Chattanooga Creek project. This project is a little different as we are planning to bypass the flow into the adjacent 72 inch sanitary sewer (less bypass piping length compared to Chattanooga Creek). Nevertheless, bypassing less flow will have a marginal impact on Tannery Flats.
- 5. The point repair allowance of \$50,000 is a wildcard until it is televised. You may have better intel based on the evaluation work performed for design. This could be a guick \$50K savings if no point repairs are needed.

- 6. We are also reviewing the other allowances (CIPP testing, surveying, and concrete testing). I think the CIPP testing and surveying can be reduced significantly. I'll be prepared to discuss.
- Coordinating this project with Chattanooga Creek is also advantageous to SAK. We are working on schedules and resource loading to determine any additional cost reductions can be passed on to the City.
- 8. Let me know if there are any other ideas that you may have that we can consider.

Like we did for the Chattanooga Creek project, we will do our very best to work with you.

Thanks,

Clayton

Clayton Washburn, PE GM, Southeast Region



Tel 615.345.0245 x1551 | Cell 615.974.0003 | 47 Fern Ave | Nashville, TN 37207 | www.sakcon.com

This email and any files transmitted with it contain confidential information and are intended only for the individual(s) named as recipient(s). If you are not a named recipient, you should disseminate, distribute or copy this email. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email. Although the sender and SAK Construction LLC have taken reasonable precautions to ensure that this email contains no viruses or corrupt attachments, the sender and SAK Construction, LLC cannot accept responsibility for any local damage arising from the use of this email or attachments. Unless otherwise confirmed by SAK Construction, LLC this email is not (i) a solicitation or offer to buy or sell any product or sen official confirmation of any transaction, or (iii) an official statement of the sender.

From: Smith, Rich [mailto:Rich.Smith@hdrinc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 2:35 PM

To: Clayton Washburn

Cc: <a href="mailto:chris.palmer@jacobs.com">chris.palmer@jacobs.com</a>; Bolender, Justin; Dennis Malone

Subject: FW: W-12-025-201 - Tannery Flats Interceptor Rehabilitation

Mr. Washburn,

In preparation for our teleconference Friday 5/8 on the Tannery Flats project, please consider the following:

- 1. The attached spreadsheet shows updated design thicknesses and quantities for CIPP rehabilitation, considering partially deteriorated design for rehab of most line segments.
- 2. Removal of \$223,000 bid item for access roads. Most of these roads have been updated by the Riverwalk Project and will not need to be improved for construction access. The road from the Carter St. Regulator to MH S155B002 may still need to be upgraded (approx. 2000 LF).
- 3. Removal of Bid Item No. 18 Rehab of Concrete Box entering 19<sup>th</sup> Street pump station.
- 4. Removal of Bid Item No. 26 Flowable fill in 36-inch outfall pipe.

We will discuss these items as well as other potential cost saving ideas.

Thank you, Rich

Richard K. Smith, PE

Project Manager

#### HDR

1201 Market Street, Suite C Chattanooga, TN D 423.414.3553 M 859.221.2320 rich.smith@hdrinc.com SAK has evaluated cost saving items to reduce the overall project costs for the Tannery Flats Project that was discussed on May 7, 2015 via conference call with representatives from the City of Chattanooga, Jacobs Engineering, HDR, and SAK Construction, LLC. The summary of costs have been calculated to include an additional 60 calendar days to be added to the project to allow more efficient parallel planning with the Chattanooga Creek Project for the City of Chattanooga which SAK is the apparent low bidder. The summary of proposed value engineered cost savings for the Chattanooga Creek Project by SAK Construction is as follows:

- 1. Bid item 5, Stabilized Construction Access Road, bid quantity was reduced from 7,460 linear feet to 300 linear feet as construction roads for the project are not deemed necessary at this time. This results in a savings of \$214,800.
- 2. SAK will coordinate the Chattanooga Creek Project in conjunction with this project. By adding the extra duration (60 calendar days) to this project and sharing resources between the two projects, there are costs savings that SAK is prepared to pass on to the City of Chattanooga. This results is a savings of \$79,995.
- 3. Partially deteriorated design thickness rather than fully deteriorated design thickness. The cost savings including direct material (CIPP tube, resin, and catalyst), overhead, bond, insurance, and profit is \$77,259.
- 4. Based on the reduction of manhole rehabilitation required (item 17) as approximately 7 manholes will be intermediate to the cured-in-place process (CIPP) and will ultimately be lined through, these manholes will be abandoned (as agreed by the City). SAK will line through these manholes and will not cut out the CIPP in the intermediate manhole. SAK has not included cost to fill these with sand or flowable fill. This results in a cost savings of \$48,000.
- 5. SAK has eliminated item's 19 and 26 as directed. This results in a savings of \$45,000.
- 6. Pay items 29, 30, and 31 have been reduced based on more accurate information now being available. This results in a savings of \$45,500.
- 7. Bid item 2, Silt Fence, bid quantity was reduced from 3,150 linear feet to 800 linear feet as construction roads for the project are not deemed necessary at this time resulting in a significant reduction for silt fence. This results in a savings of \$23,500.

The total value of the engineered cost savings for the Tannery Flats Project is \$534,054 based on the assumptions summarized above. The attached spreadsheet reflects the adjusted unit pricing.

Note: The total cost savings (from above) for item 2 (\$79,995) and item 3 (\$77,259) are reflected in bid items 14, 15a, 15b, and 16 reflecting in a cost deduction of \$157,254.

	П	Π								Γ						Ι,	Γ.										~			Γ	Γ		Г	Γ	Γ
Notes			8,000 With road construction reduction, quantity reduced to 800 feet			9,000 No roads needed as roads for Riverwalk in place and SAK can use. Leave 300 feet in bid.											642,165 Unit price for 36 inch (21,00 mm) is the same for both (same thickness)		112,000 Estimated that 30% will be elminated by abandoning 7 manholes	Eliminated by Owner								Ellminated by Owner			2,000 Reduced by \$8K as there are 5 inversions.	2,500 Reduced by \$7,5K as minimal access needed.	20,000 Reduced by \$30%. Verify with CCTV done earlier.		
	Total Price	185,000		25,000	35,000		2,600	321,650	25,000	1,300	64,330	1 300	64,330	55,250	1,046,988	1,096,258		190,120		-	7,000	90,000	60,000	8,100	15,000		6,000	-	4,096,391	15,000					4,143,391
	Unit Price	\$ 000/581	10 \$	25,000 \$	35,000 \$	\$ 00	20 \$	\$ 05	55.	10	\$ [01	\$ 01	10 \$	425 \$	\$ BS#	461	465 \$	490 \$	\$ 005	\$ 25,000 \$	1,750 \$	30,000 \$	60,000 \$	300	15,000 \$	25,000 \$	6,000 \$	20,000 \$	SubTotal \$	15,000 \$	2,000 \$	2,500 \$	20,000 \$	7,500 \$	Fotal Bid \$
Chattanooga Tannery Flats Project - Value Engineered	Description	Mobilization	Silt Fence	Construction Entrance/Exit	Temporary Ditch Crossing	Stabilized Construction Access Road	Pre-Installation Cleaning of 18 inch	Pre-Installation Cleaning of 36 inch	Pre-Installation Heavy Cleaning 36 Inch	Pre-Installation CCTV 18 inch	Pre-Installation CCTV 36 inch	Post-Installation CCTV 18 inch	Post-Installation CCTV 36 inch	CIP 18 Inch 13.5mm	Staf Felt CIP 34 Inch 12.00 mm	Staf Felt CIP 36 buch 19.50 mm	Styl Felt CIP 36 Inch 21.00 mm	Stal Felt CIP 36 Inch 25.50 mm	Subsurface MH Rehab Type 2 48 inch Dia	Substantison bilts Releab Type 2 Controls Inm at 19th Street   \$	Replace Ex F&C winew Watertight F&C	Surface MH Reconstruction \$	6 feet Dia Precast Concrete MH Base	4 feet Dia Precast Concrete MH Risers	Modifications to MH S1450003	Modifications to MH 51558002	Remove and Plug 12 Inch Inside Drop MH S155C073	Absendon 36 tack Outfall Pare MH 51558008 w Floweble Fill   5		Cash Allowance Soil/Concrete Testing	Cash Allowance CRP Tembeg	Cach Allowmers Const Verfication Surveying	Cash Allowance Point Repairs, 2 EA	Cash Aflowance Disposal Contaminated Soil 150 TON \$	
3	Unit	W S7	E 31	EA C			Ţ			5			LF Po	LF CII	35		158				EA		EA 6:	₹	IS M			₹ S		Cs Cs	S		1 15	es ca	
	Quantity	1	908	1	1	300E	130 LF	6,433 LF	5,000 LF	130	6,433 LF	130 LF	6,433	130	2,286	2,376 LF	1,381	386	224 VF		4	3	1	22	1	1	1	•		1	1	1	1	1	
	Itam No.	1	2		4	5	9	7	8	6	a	11	12	13	14	150	81	16	17	7	19	8	21	22	23	74	25	26		28	82	œ	31	32	}   
	Total Price	135,000	31,500	25,000	35,000	223,800	2,600	321,650	25,000	1,300	64,330	1,300	64,330	55,250	710,985		134,900	2,286,900	160,000	25,000	2,000	90,000	60,000	8,100		25,000	6,000	20,000	4,584,945	15,000	10,000	10,000	50,000	7,500	4,677,445
i	Unit Price	\$ 000,281	\$ 01	25,000 \$	35,000 \$	30 \$	\$ 02	\$ 05	\$ \$	10 \$	10 \$	\$ 01 \$	\$ 01 \$	425 \$	465 \$		475 \$	\$ 495 \$	\$ 005	\$ 25,000 \$	1,750 \$	30,000 \$	\$ 000'09	300 \$	\$ 000,21	25,000 \$	6,000 \$	\$ 20,000 \$	SubTotal \$	\$ 000,21	10,000 \$	10,000 \$	\$ 50,000 \$	\$ 2,500 \$	Total Bid \$
Chattanoogs Tannery Flats Project as Bid	Description	Mobilization	Silt Fence	Construction Entrance/Exit	Temporary Ditch Crossing	Stabilized Construction Access Road \$	Pre-Installation Cleaning of 18 inch	Pre-Installation Cleaning of 36 inch	Pre-Installation Heavy Cleaning 36 inch	Pre-Installation CCTV 18 Inch	Pre-Installation CCTV 36 Inch	Post-Installation CCTV 18 inch	Post-Installation CCTV 36 inch	CP 18 inch 13.5mm	Std Felt CIP 36 Inch 21mm		Std Felt CIP 36 Inch 22.5mm	Std Felt CIP 36 Inch 28.5mm	Subsurface MH Rehab Type 2 48 inch Dia	Subsurface MH Rehab Type 2 Concrete Box at 19th	Replace Ex F&C w new Watertight F&C	Surface MH Reconstruction	6 feet Dia Precast Concrete MH Base	4 feet Dia Precast Concrete MH Risers	Modifications to MH S1450003	Modifications to MH S1558002	Remove and Plug 12 Inch Inside Drop MH S155C073 \$	Abandon 36 inch Outfall Pipe AftH S155B003 w		Cash Allowance Soil/Concrete Testing	Cash Allowance CIPP Testing	Cash Allowance Const Verification Surveying	Cash Allowance Point Repairs, 2 EA	Cash Allowance Disposal Contaminated Soil 150 TOM 5	
	<u>1</u> 5	જ	5	<b>5</b>	Æ	31	5	۳	5	5	٥	5	5	2	5		5	5	5	S	4 EA	3 EA	2	٨Ł	รา	2	SI	ม		2	ย	SI	2	2	
	Quantity	<del>-</del>	3,150 15	-		7,460	130 (F	6,433 LF	5,000 LF	130 LF	6,433 LF	130 [F	6,433 LF	130 LF	1,529		284	4,620 LF	320 VF	1	*	۳ ا		77	[		-			[	1	1	-	-	$\left\{ \ \right\}$
	them No.	-	2	6	4	ın	9	_	*	6	10	11	12	13	41	-	15	92	17	81	19	92	77	22	23	24	25	76		82	52	30	31	32	

Value Engineering Savings \$ 534,054