

RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR ON-CALL MODELING AND FLOODPLAIN ANALYSIS SERVICES FROM (1) LDA ENGINEERING; (2) CDM SMITH; (3) STANTEC; (4) AECOM; (5) AMEC FOSTER WHEELER; (6) BWSC; (7) FULGHUM, MACINDOE; AND (8) HDR, TO ENTER INTO EIGHT (8) ONE (1) YEAR BLANKET CONTRACTS WITH THESE PROFESSIONAL FIRMS SUBJECT TO THREE (3) YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES ESTIMATED AT SIX HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$650,000.00) TOTAL ANNUALLY FOR ALL EIGHT (8) PROFESSIONAL FIRMS FOR USE BY ALL DEPARTMENTS.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHATTANOOGA, it is hereby accepting the qualifications for on-call modeling and floodplain analysis services from (1) LDA Engineering; (2) CDM Smith; (3) Stantec; (4) AECOM; (5) AMEC Foster Wheeler; (6) BWSC; (7) Fulghum MacIndoe; and (8) HDR, to enter into eight (8) one (1) year blanket contracts with these professional firms subject to three (3) year renewal options for professional services estimated at \$650,000.00 total annually for all eight (8) professional firms for use by all departments.

ADOPTED: _____, 2015

/mem

City of Chattanooga



Resolution Request Form

(This form is only required for resolutions requiring expenditure of City funds)

Date Prepared: November 13, 2015

Preparer: J.L. Jim Luebbering / Bonnie Mumpower

Department: Public Works

Brief Description of Purpose for Resolution:

Resolution Number (if approved by Council): _____

A Resolution to accept the qualifications for on-call modeling and floodplain analysis services from 1.) LDA Engineering, 2.) CDM Smith, 3.) Stantec, 4.) AECOM, 5.) Amec Foster Wheeler, 6.) BWSC, 7.) Fulghum, MacIndoe and 8.) HDR. to enter into eight (8) one (1) year blanket contracts with these professional firms subject to three (3) year renewal options for professional services estimated at \$650,000.00 total annually for all eight (8) professional firms for use by all departments.

Name of Vendor/Contractor/Grant, etc. ABOVE
Total project cost \$ 650,000
Total City of Chattanooga Portion \$ _____
City Amount Funded \$ _____
New City Funding Required \$ _____
City's Match Percentage % _____

New Contract/Project? (Yes or No) No - Ongoing
Funds Budgeted? (YES or NO) YES
Provide Fund ALL DEPARTMENTS
Provide Cost Center K80111, K80125, K80126
Proposed Funding Source if not budgeted _____
Grant Period (if applicable) _____

List all other funding sources and amount for each contributor.

Amount(s)
\$ _____
\$ _____
\$ _____

Grantor(s)

Agency Grant Number _____

CFDA Number if known _____

Other comments: (Include contingency amount, contractor, and other information useful in preparing resolution)

Approved by: _____

Reviewed by: FINANCE OFFICE

DESIGNATED OFFICIAL/ADMINISTRATOR

Please submit completed form to @budget, accounting, City Attorney, City Finance Officer and Deputy Administrator Finance

Selection Committee Summary - Sorted (Highest to Lowest)

Eight (8) Short Listed Firms

Response	FIRM	Luebbering	Heinzer	Kinder	Engr.	Purch.	Average Score	Score by 100 scale	Rank	Spread*	
1	LDA Inc.	4.5	4.25	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.51	90.20	1 st	n/a	
2	CDM Smith	4.5	4.15	3.9	4.15	4.5	4.24	84.80	2 nd	5.40	
3	Startec	4.1	3.675	4.8	3.75	4.1	4.085	81.70	3 rd	3.10	
4	AECOM	4	4.05	4.25	4.25	3.85	4.08	81.60	4 th	0.10	
5	amec	3.85	3.8	4.25	4.5	4	4.08	81.60	4 th	0.00	
6	BWSC	3.6	3.95	4.2	4.35	3.75	3.97	79.40	5 th	2.20	
7	Fulghum, MacIndoe	3.2	4.125	4.45	4.5	3.5	3.955	79.10	6 th	0.30	
8	HDR, Inc.	4.25	4.2	4	3.2	4.1	3.95	79.00	7 th	0.10	
9	Neel-Schaffer	3.2	4.2	4.45	3.6	3.2	3.73	74.60	8	4.40	
10	Wk Dickson	3.2	4.05	4.5	3.2	3.2	3.63	72.60	9	2.00	
11	CHA Consulting, Inc.	3.35	3.85	3.95	3.6	3.35	3.62	72.40	10	0.20	
12	Enercon Services	3.2	3.7	4.15	3.2	3.2	3.49	69.80	11	2.60	
13	CTI Engineers	3.2	3.85	3.65	3.2	3.2	3.42	68.40	12	1.40	
14	Vaughn & Melton	3.2	3.45	3.95	3.2	3.2	3.4	68.00	13	0.40	
		Average Score				77.4					
1 st 5 th		2 nd 6 th	3 rd 7 th	Tied for 4 th							

} Tied Score

Significantly Large Spread
First Submitter falling below the avg. score

Avg. Spread

Avg. Spread excluding LDA & Neel-Schaffer

* - Spread is simply the difference between a company's score, and the preceding higher score or "how much lower is this score than the one immediately above"



David Carmody <dcarmody@chattanooga.gov>

RFQ 122840 On-Call Watershed Modeling

3 messages

Debbie Talley <dtalley@chattanooga.gov>

Fri, Nov 13, 2015 at 2:13 PM

To: David Carmody <dcarmody@chattanooga.gov>, Bonnie Woodward-Weller <woodward@chattanooga.gov>

David,

I've attached a copy of the scorecard for the On-Call Modeling for your approval. Public Works would like to short-list eight firms for the following reasons.

1. Logical break in scores because of occurrence of significant spread.
2. Every proposed short listed score is above the average submitted score. Every non-shortlisted score falls below the average.
3. Large pool to choose from for projects of all sizes, duration and cost.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Debbie

 **RFQ 122840 On-Call Watershed Modeling and Floodplain Analysis.xls**
52K

David Carmody <dcarmody@chattanooga.gov>

Fri, Nov 20, 2015 at 4:12 PM

To: Debbie Talley <dtalley@chattanooga.gov>

Please proceed.

David Carmody
Interim Chief Operating Officer | City of Chattanooga

phone (423) 643-7813
email dcarmody@chattanooga.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Debbie Talley <dtalley@chattanooga.gov>

Fri, Nov 20, 2015 at 4:21 PM

To: David Carmody <dcarmody@chattanooga.gov>

Thank you!

[Quoted text hidden]



David Carmody <dcarmody@chattanooga.gov>

RFQ 122840 On-Call Watershed Modeling

3 messages

Debbie Talley <dtalley@chattanooga.gov>

Fri, Nov 13, 2015 at 2:13 PM

To: David Carmody <dcarmody@chattanooga.gov>, Bonnie Woodward-Weller <woodward@chattanooga.gov>

David,

I've attached a copy of the scorecard for the On-Call Modeling for your approval. Public Works would like to short-list eight firms for the following reasons.

1. Logical break in scores because of occurrence of significant spread.
2. Every proposed short listed score is above the average submitted score. Every non-shortlisted score falls below the average.
3. Large pool to choose from for projects of all sizes, duration and cost.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Debbie

 **RFQ 122840 On-Call Watershed Modeling and Floodplain Analysis.xls**
52K

David Carmody <dcarmody@chattanooga.gov>

Fri, Nov 20, 2015 at 4:12 PM

To: Debbie Talley <dtalley@chattanooga.gov>

Please proceed.

David Carmody
Interim Chief Operating Officer | City of Chattanooga

phone (423) 643-7813
email dcarmody@chattanooga.gov

[Quoted text hidden]

Debbie Talley <dtalley@chattanooga.gov>

Fri, Nov 20, 2015 at 4:21 PM

To: David Carmody <dcarmody@chattanooga.gov>

Thank you!

[Quoted text hidden]